



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/692,154	10/22/2003	Ching-Yu Hung	TI-35348	9150

23494 7590 11/01/2006

TEXAS INSTRUMENTS INCORPORATED
P O BOX 655474, M/S 3999
DALLAS, TX 75265

EXAMINER

WANG, CLAIRE X

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2624

DATE MAILED: 11/01/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/692,154	Applicant(s) HUNG ET AL.	
	Examiner Claire Wang	Art Unit 2624	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 October 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 10/22/2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Schoolcraft et al. (US 6,466,333 B2).

As to claim 1, Schoolcraft et al. (from this point forward shall be referred to as Schoolcraft) teaches a method of tetrahedral interpolation (Col. 1, lines 8-11), comprising the steps of: (a) receive a color space input point (pixel of the input image; Col. 11, line 43); (b) compute a base point (base address; Col. 11, line 58) and three differentials (Col. 11, lines 65-67; Col. 12, lines 1-3)) for said input point; (c) compare said three differentials (Table V); (d) compute tetrahedron vertices from the results of steps (b) and (c) (Table V), a first one of said vertices being said base point (look-up table 34; Col. 6, lines 30-32); (e) find output values for each of said vertices (Table I; Col. 6, lines 34-59); (f) compute an interpolated output value for said input point as the sum of the output value of said base point plus the inner product of said differentials in size order with corresponding differences of said output values for said vertices (Table III).

As to claim 2, Schoolcraft teaches wherein: (a) said output values of step (e) are a single color value for each vertex (look-up table 34 has a cube output representing

each input; a cube has 8 vertices and therefore can use one of its vertices to represent an input value; Col. 6, lines 4-10 and lines 23-28).

As to claim 3, Schoolcraft teaches wherein: (a) said output values of step (e) are three color values for each vertex (look-up table 34 has a cube output representing each input; a cube has 8 vertices and therefore can use three of its vertices to represent an input value; Col. 6, lines 4-10 and lines 23-28).

As to claim 4, Schoolcraft teaches wherein: (a) said output values of step (e) are four color values for each vertex (look-up table 34 has a cube output representing each input; a cube has 8 vertices and therefore can use four of its vertices to represent an input value; Col. 6, lines 4-10 and lines 23-28).

As to claim 5, Schoolcraft teaches wherein: (a), said output values of step (e) are six color values for each vertex (look-up table 34 has a cube output representing each input; a cube has 8 vertices and therefore can use all 8 of its vertices to represent an input value; Col. 6, lines 4-10 and lines 23-28).

As to claim 6, it differs from claim 1 only in that claim 1 is a method claim whereas claim 6 is the system of claim 1. Thus claim 6 is analyzed previously discussed as respect to claim 1 (Fig. 1 shows the system Schoolcraft's invention).

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Vondran, Jr. (US 6,049,400) teaches of a non-symmetric tetrahedral interpolation system.

Sakamoto et al. (US 4,275,413) teaches of a linear interpolator for color correction.

Hemingway (US 6,697,520 B1) teaches of a method for color interpolation.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Claire Wang whose telephone number is 571-270-1051. The examiner can normally be reached on 5/4/9.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Mancuso can be reached on 571-272-7695. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Claire Wang
10/23/2006



JOSEPH MANCUSO
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER